

5-YEAR REVIEW

Short Form Summary

Species Reviewed: Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō (*Moho braccatus*)

Current Classification: Endangered

Federal Register Notice announcing initiation of this review:

[USFWS] U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2015. Endangered and threatened wildlife and plants; initiation of 5-year status reviews of 133 species in Hawaii, Oregon, Idaho, and Washington. Federal Register 80(30):8100–8103. February 13, 2015.

Lead Region/Field Office: Region 1, Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office (PIFWO), Honolulu, Hawai‘i

Name(s) of reviewer(s):

Michelle Clark, Fish and Wildlife Biologist, PIFWO

Megan Laut, Animal Recovery Coordinator, PIFWO

Gregory Koob, Conservation and Restoration Team Manager, PIFWO

Kristi Young, Acting Project Leader, PIFWO

Methodology used to complete this 5-year review:

This review was conducted by USFWS, PIFWO staff beginning on August 10, 2018. The review was based on an analysis of current, available information since the last 5-year review for Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō (*Moho braccatus*) (USFWS 2009). The evaluation by Michelle Clark, Fish and Wildlife Biologist, was reviewed by the Animal Recovery Coordinator and the Conservation and Restoration Team Manager before it was forwarded to the acting Project Leader and then to the Regional Office for review and approval.

Background:

For information regarding the species listing history and other facts, please refer to the Fish and Wildlife Service’s Environmental Conservation On-line System (ECOS) database for threatened and endangered species at: http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public.

Review analysis:

Please refer to the previous 5-year review for Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō (*Moho braccatus*) published on July 29, 2009 (available at: https://ecos.fws.gov/docs/five_year_review/doc2534.pdf), for a complete review of the species’ status, threats, and management efforts. New information regarding the biological status of Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō has come to light since listing to warrant a change in its Federal listing status.

The Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō is one of four known Hawaiian species of the genus *Moho* and one of five known Hawaiian bird species within the family Mohoidae (Fleischer *et al.* 2008). It is 19.5 centimeters (7.7 inches) long and somewhat smaller than the ‘ō‘ō species on the other Hawaiian islands. Its plumage is glossy black on the head, wings, and tail; smoky brown on the lower back, rump and abdomen; and rufous-brown on the upper tail coverts.

It has a prominent white patch at the bend of the wing. The thigh feathers are golden yellow in adults and black in immatures (Berger 1972).

Summary of species status information:

Historical observations

- The Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō was very common from near sea level to the high interior forests of Kaua‘i until the end of the 19th century, but after only 3 decades it was thought to be close to extinction (Munro 1944). Except for inconclusive reports of possible vocalizations, it went without observation until rediscovered by Donagho (1941) and again by Richardson and Bowles (1961). Upon rediscovery in the late 1960s the Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō population was estimated to be only 36 individuals (Sincock 1982). Sincock located and described the first nest in a tree cavity in 1971, and followed subsequent nests in 1972 and 1973. Only a single pair was found during an intensive survey made in 1981 (Scott *et al.* 1986). Two hurricanes that struck Kaua‘i in 1982 and 1992 caused much forest damage and may have possibly eliminated the remnant population. The last plausible record of a Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō was a vocal response to a recorded vocalization played by a field biologist on April 28, 1987, in the locality of Halehaha/Halepa‘akai Stream (J. Krakowski, Hawai‘i Department of Land and Natural Resources, pers. comm. 1987).
- Although variable circular plots (VCP) have been the primary method used to count birds in Hawai‘i, it is not appropriate for all species and provides poor estimates for extremely rare birds (Camp *et al.* 2009, p. 92). In recognition of this problem, the Rare Bird Search (RBS) was undertaken from 1994 to 1996 to update the status and distribution of 13 “missing” Hawaiian forest birds (Reynolds and Snetsinger 2001, pp. 134-137). The RBS was designed to improve efficiency in the search for extremely rare species using the method of continuous observation during 20- to 30-minute timed searches in areas where target species were known to have occurred historically in conjunction with audio playback of species vocalizations (when available). Several recent surveys and searches, including the RBS, have been unsuccessful in detecting Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō despite intensive survey efforts by wildlife biologists in 1993, 1994, 2000, 2005, and 2011 to 2018 (Hawai‘i Department of Land and Natural Resources unpubl. data; Reynolds and Snetsinger 2001, Crampton *et al.* 2017, L. Crampton pers. comm. 2018).

Subsequent survey efforts and extinction assessments

- During the RBS, Reynolds and Snetsinger’s (2001) coverage of the search area was extensive, and they thus had a high probability of detecting Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō. None were detected and they concluded Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō was likely extinct ($P \geq 0.95$).
- Elphick *et al.* (2010, p. 620) developed a method by which the predicted probability of a species extinction is determined based on time (years) since the species was last observed. Using 1987 for the last credible sighting of Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō based on independent expert opinion, the authors estimated date for the species extinction was 1991, with 95% confidence of the species having gone extinct by 2000. This

approach for establishing extinction probability when applied to extremely rare species has the drawback that an incorrect assignment of species extinction may occur due to inadequate survey effort and/or insufficient time by qualified observers spent for the area the species could still potentially exist.

- Extensive time, however, has been spent by qualified observers in the historical range of Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō searching for puaiohi (*Myadestes palmeri*), ‘akeke‘e (*Loxops caeruleirostris*) and ‘akikiki (*Oreomystis bairdi*). Hawai‘i Forest Bird Surveys were conducted in 1981, 1989, 1994, 2000, 2005, 2007, 2008, 2012, and 2018 (Paxton *et al.* 2016, L. Crampton pers. comm. 2018). The Kaua‘i Forest Bird Recovery Project (KFBRP) conducted occupancy surveys for puaiohi in Koke‘e State Park, Hono O Na Pali Natural Area Reserve, Na Pali Kona Forest Reserve and the Alaka‘i Wilderness Preserve, from 2011 to 2013 (Crampton *et al.* 2017) and spent over 1,500 person hours per year from 2015 to 2018 searching for ‘akikiki and ‘akeke‘e nests. Hawai‘i Forest Bird Surveys (HFBS) in 2012 and 2018, occupancy surveys and nest searches did not yield any new detections of Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō. The KFBRP conducted mist netting in various locations within the historical range for Kaua‘i ‘akialoa from 2006 through 2009 and from 2011 through 2018, and no Kaua‘i ‘akialoa or ‘ō‘ō were caught or encountered (L. Crampton pers. comm. 2018).

Threats evaluation

- A recent analytic tool proposes using information on threats to infer species extinction based on an evaluation of whether identified threats are sufficiently severe and prolonged to cause local extinction and are extensive in geographic scope to eliminate all occurrences (Keith *et al.* 2017, p. 320). At elevations below 1,500 meters (4,921 feet) in Hawai‘i, the key factor driving disease epizootics of pox virus (*Avipoxvirus*) and avian malaria (*Plasmodium relictum*) is the seasonal and altitudinal distribution and density of the primary vector of these diseases, the mosquito *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Atkinson and LaPointe 2009a, pp. 237-238, 245-246). The disappearance of many Hawaiian honeycreeper species over the last century from areas below 1,500 meters (4,921 feet) points to the effects of avian disease having been sufficiently severe and prolonged and extensive in geographic scope to cause widespread species range contraction and possible extinction. It is highly likely that avian disease is the primary causal factor for the disappearance of several species of Hawaiian honeycreepers from forested areas below 1,500 meters (4,921 feet) on the islands of Kaua‘i, O‘ahu, Moloka‘i, and Lāna‘i and the retreat by Hawaiian honeycreepers to forest above 1,500 meters (4,921 feet) on higher islands of Maui and Hawai‘i (Scott *et al.* 1986, p. 148; Banko and Banko 2009, pp. 52-53; Atkinson and Lapointe 2009a, pp. 237-238). Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō was once considered a very common species in the lowlands as well as in the upland forests. *Moho* species on other islands disappeared around the same period as Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō which suggests that disease as a major limiting factor, coupled with the fact the last remaining Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō were found only at higher mosquito-free elevations. These observations suggest avian disease is a primary causal factor for the disappearance of Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō (USFWS 2006, p. 2-49).

- Not much is known about the nesting behavior of Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō, but the few descriptions of nests by Sincock indicate that they were cavity nesters and used large old-growth snags for nesting. The two hurricanes that struck Kaua‘i in 1982 and 1992 likely limited the Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō’s ability to find suitable nest sites. Habitat destruction and degradation and predation by introduced predators likely also contributed to the disappearance of Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō (USFWS 2006, pp. 2-49).
- It is widely established that small populations of animals are inherently more vulnerable to extinction because of random demographic fluctuations and stochastic environmental events (Mangel and Tier 1994, p. 607; Gilpin and Soulé 1986, pp. 24-34). Formerly widespread populations that become small and isolated often exhibit reduced levels of genetic variability, which diminishes the species’ capacity to adapt and respond to environmental changes, thereby lessening the probability of long-term persistence (e.g., Barrett and Kohn 1991, p. 4; Keller and Waller 2002, p. 240; Newman and Pilson 1997, p. 361). As populations are lost or decrease in size, genetic variability is reduced resulting in increased vulnerability to disease and restricted potential evolutionary capacity to respond to novel stressors (Spielman *et al.* 2004, p. 15,261; Whiteman *et al.* 2006, p. 797). From 1968 to 1973, Sincock conducted extensive surveys in the Alaka‘i Wilderness Preserve and estimated the Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō population to be 36 ± 22 individuals (USFWS 1983). Based on this estimate it is likely that small population size also negatively impacted Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō, reducing its ability to persist.
- Climate change and temperature increase present additional threats specific to Hawaiian forest birds by causing an increase in the elevation below which regular transmission of avian malaria occurs. In Hawai‘i, the threshold temperature for transmission of avian malaria is estimated to be 13 degrees Celsius (55 degrees Fahrenheit), whereas peak *Plasmodium relictum* prevalence in wild mosquitoes occurs in mid-elevation forest where the mean ambient summer temperature is 17 degrees Celsius (64 degrees Fahrenheit) (Atkinson and LaPointe 2009b, p. 58-59). The mid to high-elevation forests with temperatures between 13 and 17 degrees Celsius are considered to be a transition zone where some transmission is possible but limited.

Benning *et al.* (2002, p. 14,248) used Geographic Information Systems simulation to show that an increase in temperature of 2 degrees Celsius (36 degrees Fahrenheit), which is within the range predicted by different climate models, in 100 years will result in the shrinking of the transition zone from 37,649 acres (15,236 hectares) to 5,680 acres (2,299 hectares) on Kaua‘i. This is assuming lack of effective intervention with respect to avian malaria transmission. There are currently no forests on Kaua‘i which remain cool enough to be free from avian malaria (mean ambient temperature below 13 degrees Celsius; 55 degrees Fahrenheit).

Prevalence of malaria infection in forest birds increased significantly on Kaua‘i from 1994 to 1997 and 2007 to 2013 at elevations between 1,100 and 1,350 meters (3,609 and 4,429 feet) due to increased air temperatures, declining precipitation, and changes

in stream flow that improved conditions for mosquito breeding (Atkinson *et al.* 2014, p. 2427). The related Hawaiian honeycreeper, ‘i‘iwi (*Drepanis coccinea*), is highly vulnerable to avian malaria (Atkinson *et al.* 1995, p. S59). The ‘i‘iwi has experienced sharp declines with a projected trend over 25 years of a 92% decline on Kaua‘i and a 34% decline within the northeastern region of East Maui (Paxton *et al.* 2013 p. 12). Liao *et al.* (2015, p. 3,486) assessed how global climate change will affect future malaria risk for native Hawaiian bird populations and predicted even high-elevation forest bird habitat (above 1,500 meters; 4,921 feet) will remain relatively mosquito-free only until about the middle of this century.

New management actions:

- Surveys / inventories as described above.

Synthesis:

For this review, we applied a standard of demonstrated species absence at the 95% confidence level to recommend species status change from “unknown” to “delisting” due to presumed extinction. This standard means we assumed a species is potentially extant until survey or other information shows the species is absent with 95% confidence from suitable habitat it was known to have occupied historically during roughly the last half-century. Several recent surveys and searches, including the RBS and HFBS, have been unsuccessful in detecting Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō despite intensive survey efforts by wildlife biologists in 1989, 1993, 1994, 2000, 2005, and 2011 to 2018 (Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources unpubl. data; Reynolds and Snetsinger 2001, Crampton *et al.* 2017, L. Crampton pers. comm. 2018). Elphick *et al.* (2010, p. 620) used 1987 for the last credible sighting based on independent expert opinion, the authors estimated date for the species extinction is 1991, with 95% confidence of the species having become extinct by 2000. Reynolds and Snetsinger (2001) determined Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō was likely extinct at the time of the RBS in 1994 ($P \geq 0.95$). We maintain that the status of the Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō should be changed from “unknown” to “presumed extinct.” This recommendation is based on lack of detections during extensive searches conducted throughout the species’ range in Koke‘e State Park, Hono O Na Pali Natural Area Reserve, Na Pali Kona Forest Reserve and Alaka‘i Wilderness Preserve, and for the reasons stated above.

Recommendations for future actions:

- Captive propagation for reintroduction and genetic storage – There are instances where rare Hawaiian birds have been rediscovered after they were presumed extinct or have been found in larger populations than expected (Reynolds and Snetsinger 2001, p. 142). Should Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō be rediscovered we recommend that the Rare Bird Discovery Protocol in the Revised Recovery Plan for Hawaiian Forest Birds (USFWS 2006, pp. 3-17 – 3-21) be followed with regard to decisions of whether to attempt to establish a captive population for propagation and reintroduction or to manage rediscovered population(s) *in situ*.

Table 1. Trends in status of Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō since listing.

Date (Action)	Number of Wild Individuals	Key Recovery Actions	Actions Accomplished
1967 (Listing)	Very rare	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improve habitat conditions • Decrease threat of avian disease • Systematically search area of suitable forest habitat 	
1983 (First recovery plan)	36 ± 22	See above	
1995 (Hawai‘i Rare Bird Search)	Unknown	See above	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improve habitat conditions • Systematically search area of suitable forest habitat
2006 (Revised recovery plan)	Unknown	See above	See above
2009 (5-year review)	Unknown	See above	See above
2019 (5-year review)	Extinct	See above	See above

References:

Atkinson, C.T. and D.A. LaPointe. 2009a. Ecology and pathogenicity of avian malaria and pox. Pages 234-252 in T.K. Pratt, C.T. Atkinson, P.C. Banko, J.D. Jacobi, and B.L. Woodworth (eds.). *Conservation biology of Hawaiian forest birds: implications for island avifauna*. Yale University Press, New Haven and London.

Atkinson, C.T. and D.A. LaPointe. 2009b. Introduced avian diseases, climate change, and the future of Hawaiian honeycreepers. *Journal of Avian Medicine and Surgery* 23(1):53-63.

Atkinson, C.T., R.B. Utzurum, D.A. LaPointe, R.J. Camp, L.H. Crampton, J.T. Foster, and T.W. Giambelluca. 2014. Changing climate and the altitudinal range of avian malaria in the Hawaiian Islands: an ongoing conservation crisis on the island of Kauai. *Global Change Biology* 20(8):2426-2436.

Atkinson, C.T., K.L. Woods, R.J. Dusek, L.S. Sileo, and W.M. Iko. 1995. Wildlife disease and conservation in Hawai‘i: pathogenicity of avian malaria (*Plasmodium relictum*) in experimentally infected ‘I‘wi (*Vestiaria coccinea*). *Parasitology* 1995; 111(S1):S59-S69.

Banko, W.E. and P.C. Banko. 2009. Historic Decline and Extinction. Pages 27-58 in T.K. Pratt, C.T. Atkinson, P.C. Banko, J.D. Jacobi, and B.L. Woodworth (eds.). *Conservation biology of Hawaiian forest birds: implications for island avifauna*. Yale University Press, New Haven and London.

Barrett, S.C.H. and J. Kohn. 1991. Genetics and evolutionary consequences of small population size in plants: implications for conservation. Pages 3-30 in D.A. Falk and K.E. Holsinger (eds.). *Genetics and Conservation of Rare Plants*. Oxford University Press, New York and Oxford.

Benning, T.L., D.A. LaPointe, C.T. Atkinson, and P.M. Vitousek. 2002. Interactions of climate change with biological invasions and land use in the Hawaiian Islands: modeling the fate of endemic birds using a geographic information system. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 99:14246-14249.

Berger, A.J. 1972. *Hawaiian birdlife*. University Press of Hawai‘i, Honolulu, Hawai‘i.

Camp, R.J., M.H. Reynolds, B.L. Woodworth, P.M. Gorresen, and T.K. Pratt. 2009. Monitoring Hawaiian forest birds. Pages 83-107 in T.K. Pratt, C.T. Atkinson, P.C. Banko, J.D. Jacobi, and B.L. Woodworth (eds.). *Conservation biology of Hawaiian forest birds: implications for island avifauna*. Yale University Press, New Haven and London.

Crampton, L.H., K.W. Brinck, K.E. Pias, B.A.P. Heindl, T. Savre, J.S. Diegmann, and E.H. Paxton. 2017. Linking occupancy surveys with habitat characteristics to

estimate abundance and distribution in an endangered cryptic bird. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 26:1,525-1,539.

Donagho, W.W. 1941. A report of ornithological observations made on Kaua‘i. *‘Elepaio* 2:52.

Elphick, C.S., D.L. Roberts, and J.M. Reed. 2010. Estimated dates of recent extinctions for North American and Hawaiian birds. *Biological Conservation* 143:617-624.

Fleischer, R.C. H.F. James, and S.L. Olson. 2008. Convergent evolution of Hawaiian and Australo-Pacific honeyeaters from distant songbird ancestors. *Current Biology*. 18(24):1927–1931.

Gilpin, M.E. and M.E. Soulé. 1986. Minimum viable populations: processes of species extinction. Pages 19-34 in M.E. Soulé (ed.). *Conservation Biology: the science of scarcity and diversity*. Sinauer Associates.

Keith, D.A., S.H.M. Butchart, H.M. Regan, I. Harrison, H.R. Akçakaya, A.R. Solow, and M.A. Burgman. 2017. Inferring extinctions I: a structured method using information on threats. *Biological Conservation* 2154:320-327.

Keller, L.F. and D.M. Waller. 2002. Inbreeding effects in wild populations. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 17(5):230-241.

Liao, W., O.E. Timm, C. Zhang, C.T. Atkinson, D.A. LaPointe, and M.D. Samuel. 2015. Will a warmer and wetter future cause extinction of native Hawaiian forest birds? *Global Change Biology* 21(12):4342-4352.

Mangel, M. and C. Tier. 1994. Four facts every conservation biologists should know about persistence. *Ecology* 75(3):607-614.

Munro. G.C. 1944. Birds of Hawai‘i. Tongg Publishing Company, Honolulu, Hawai‘i.

Newman, D. and D. Pilson. 1997. Increased probability of extinction due to decreased genetic effective population size: experimental populations of *Clarkia pulchella*. *Evolution* 51(2):354-362.

Paxton, E.H., P.M. Gorresen, and R.J. Camp. 2013. Abundance, distribution, and population trends of the iconic Hawaiian Honeycreeper, the ‘I‘iwi (*Vestiaria coccinea*) throughout the Hawaiian Islands. U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2013-1150.

Reynolds, M.H. and T.J. Snetsinger. 2001. The Hawai‘i rare bird search 1994-1996. *Studies in Avian Biology* 22:133-143.

Richardson, F. and J. Bowles. 1964. A survey of the birds of Kaua‘i, Hawai‘i. Bernice P. Bishop Museum Bulletin 227.

Scott, J.M., S. Mountainspring, F.L. Ramsey, and C.B. Kepler. 1986. Forest bird communities of the Hawaiian Islands: their dynamics, ecology, and conservation. *Studies in Avian Biology* 9:1-431.

Speilman, D., B.W. Brook, and R. Frankham. 2004. Most species are not driven to extinction before genetic factors impact them. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 101(42):15261–15264.

[USFWS] U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1983. Kaua‘i Forest Birds Recovery Plan. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland, Oregon. 69 pages.

[USFWS] U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2006. Revised recovery plan for Hawaiian forest birds. Region 1, Portland, Oregon. 622 pages.

[USFWS] U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2009. Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō (*Moho braccatus*) 5-year review summary and evaluation. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office, Honolulu, Hawai‘i. 11 pages.

Whiteman, N.K., K.D. Matson, J.L. Bollmer, and P.G. Parker. 2006. Disease ecology in the Galapagos hawk (*Buteo galapagoensis*): host genetic diversity, parasite load and natural antibodies. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 273:797-804.

Personal communications:

Krakowski, J. 1987. Hawai‘i Department of Land and Natural Resources.

Crampton, Lisa. 2018. Kaua‘i Forest Bird Recovery Project. Personal communication with Michelle Clark, Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office, dated July 22, 2018. Subject: Recent forest bird surveys and areas surveyed.

Crampton, Lisa. 2018. Kaua‘i Forest Bird Recovery Project. Personal communication with Michelle Clark, Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office, dated December 10, 2018. Subject: Mist netting activities during the years of 2006-2018.

**U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE
SIGNATURE PAGE for 5-YEAR REVIEW of
Kaua‘i ‘ō‘ō (*Moho braccatus*)**

Pre-1996 DPS listing still considered a listable entity? N/A

Recommendation resulting from the 5-year review:

X Delisting – Presumed Extinct
 Reclassify from Endangered to Threatened status
 Reclassify from Threatened to Endangered status
 No Change in listing status

Appropriate Listing/Reclassification Priority Number, if applicable: _____

Approved by:

**Project Leader,
Pacific islands Fish and Wildlife Office**

**Assistant Regional Director,
Ecological Services, Pacific Regional Office**